Administration

- **HW1** grades should be up!
- **HW3** is due **midnight**.
- **Hw4** will be released next Tuesday.
  - Please start working on it as soon as possible
  - Come to sections with questions
- Deadline for project proposals is close
  - Make sure to find a partner and explore the ideas.
Recap: Multi-Layer Perceptrons

- Multi-layer network
  - A global approximator
  - Different rules for training it

- The Back-propagation
  - Forward step
  - Back propagation of errors

- Congrats! Now you know the hardest concept about neural networks!

- Today:
  - Convolutional Neural Networks
  - Recurrent Neural Networks
Receptive Fields

- The **receptive field** of an individual sensory neuron is the particular region of the sensory space (e.g., the body surface, or the retina) in which a stimulus will trigger the firing of that neuron.
  - In the auditory system, receptive fields can correspond to volumes in auditory space

- Designing “proper” receptive fields for the input Neurons is a significant challenge.

- Consider a task with image inputs
  - Receptive fields should give expressive features from the raw input to the system
  - How would you design the receptive fields for this problem?
A fully connected layer:

- **Example:**
  - 100x100 images
  - 1000 units in the input

- **Problems:**
  - $10^7$ edges!
  - Spatial correlations lost!
  - Variables sized inputs.
Consider a task with image inputs:

A locally connected layer:
- Example:
  - 100x100 images
  - 1000 units in the input
  - Filter size: 10x10
- Local correlations preserved!
- Problems:
  - $10^5$ edges
  - This parameterization is good when input image is registered (e.g., face recognition).
  - Variable sized inputs, again.

Slide Credit: Marc'Aurelio Ranzato
Convolutional Layer

A solution:
- Filters to capture different patterns in the input space.
  - Share parameters across different locations (assuming input is stationary)
  - Convolutions with learned filters
- Filters will be learned during training.
- The issue of variable-sized inputs will be resolved with a pooling layer.

So what is a convolution?
Convolution Operator

- **Convolution operator:** *
  - takes two functions and gives another function

- **One dimension:**
  \[(x * h)(t) = \int x(\tau)h(t - \tau)d\tau\]

  \[(x * h)[n] = \sum_m x[m]h[n - m]\]

- “Convolution” is very similar to “cross-correlation”, except that in convolution one of the functions is flipped.

Example convolution:
Convolution in two dimension:

- The same idea: flip one matrix and slide it on the other matrix
- Example: Sharpen kernel:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 5 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Try other kernels: http://setosa.io/ev/image-kernels/
Convolution in two dimension:

- The same idea: flip one matrix and slide it on the other matrix

Slide Credit: Marc'Aurelio Ranzato
Complexity of Convolution

- Complexity of convolution operator is $n \log(n)$, for $n$ inputs.
  - Uses Fast-Fourier-Transform (FFT)
- For two-dimension, each convolution takes $MN \log(MN)$ time, where the size of input is $MN$.

Slide Credit: Marc'Aurelio Ranzato
The convolution of the input (vector/matrix) with weights (vector/matrix) results in a response vector/matrix.

We can have multiple filters in each convolutional layer, each producing an output.

If it is an intermediate layer, it can have multiple inputs!

One can add nonlinearity at the output of convolutional layer.
Pooling Layer

- How to handle variable sized inputs?
  - A layer which reduces inputs of different size, to a fixed size.
  - **Pooling**
Pooling Layer

How to handle variable sized inputs?

- A layer which reduces inputs of different size, to a fixed size.
- **Pooling**
- Different variations
  - Max pooling
    \[ h_i[n] = \max_{i \in N(n)} \tilde{h}[i] \]
  - Average pooling
    \[ h_i[n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N(n)} \tilde{h}[i] \]
  - L2-pooling
    \[ h_i[n] = \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{i \in N(n)} \tilde{h}^2[i]} \]
  - etc
Convolutional Nets

- One stage structure:

- Whole system:

An example system (LeNet):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 1</th>
<th>Stage 2</th>
<th>Stage 3</th>
<th>Fully Connected Layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conv.</td>
<td>Pooling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Training a ConvNet

- The same procedure from Back-propagation applies here.
  - Remember in backprop we started from the error terms in the last stage, and passed them back to the previous layers, one by one.

- Back-prop for the pooling layer:
  - Consider, for example, the case of “max” pooling.
  - This layer only routes the gradient to the input that has the highest value in the forward pass.
  - Hence, during the forward pass of a pooling layer it is common to keep track of the index of the max activation (sometimes also called the switches) so that gradient routing is efficient during backpropagation.

- Therefore we have: \( \delta = \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial y_i} \)

\[ \delta_{\text{last-layer}} = \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial y_{\text{last-layer}}} \]

\[ \delta_{\text{first-layer}} = \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial y_{\text{first-layer}}} \]

- Diagram: Convol., Pooling, Stage 1, Stage 2, Stage 3, Fully Connected Layer.
Training a ConvNet

Back-prop for the convolutional layer:

\[ \hat{y} = w * x \iff \hat{y}_i = \sum_{a=0}^{m-1} w_a x_{i-a} = \sum_{a=0}^{m-1} w_{i-a} x_a \quad \forall i \]

\[ y = f(\hat{y}) \iff y_i = f(\hat{y}_i) \quad \forall i \]

\[ \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial w_a} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial \hat{y}_i} \frac{\partial \hat{y}_i}{\partial w_a} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial \hat{y}_i} x_{i-a} \]

\[ \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial \hat{y}_i} = \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial \hat{y}_i} = \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial y_i} f'(\hat{y}) \]

\[ \delta = \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial x_a} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial \hat{y}_i} \frac{\partial \hat{y}_i}{\partial x_a} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial \hat{y}_i} w_{i-a} \]

We derive the update rules for a 1D convolution, but the idea is the same for bigger dimensions.

Now we can repeat this for each stage of ConvNet.
Convolutional Nets

An example system:

Feature visualization of convolutional net trained on ImageNet from [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]
ConvNet roots

- **Fukushima, 1980s** designed network with same basic structure but did not train by backpropagation.
- The first successful applications of **Convolutional Networks** by Yann LeCun in 1990's (LeNet)
  - Was used to read zip codes, digits, etc.
- Many variants nowadays, but the core idea is the same
  - Example: a system developed in Google (GoogLeNet)
    - Compute different filters
    - Compose one big vector from all of them
    - Layer this iteratively

Depth matters

Revolution of Depth

ImageNet Classification top-5 error (%)

ILSVRC'15 ResNet: 3.57
ILSVRC'14 GoogleNet: 6.7
ILSVRC'14 VGG: 7.3
ILSVRC'13: 11.7 (8 layers)
ILSVRC'12 AlexNet: 16.4 (8 layers)
ILSVRC'11: shallow (25.8)
ILSVRC'10: 28.2

Slide from [Kaiming He 2015]
Practical Tips

- Before large scale experiments, test on a small subset of the data and check the error should go to zero.
  - Overfitting on small training
- Visualize features (feature maps need to be uncorrelated) and have high variance
- Bad training: many hidden units ignore the input and/or exhibit strong correlations.

Figure Credit: Marc'Aurelio Ranzato
Debugging

- Training diverges:
  - Learning rate may be too large $\rightarrow$ decrease learning rate
  - BackProp is buggy $\rightarrow$ numerical gradient checking
- Loss is minimized but accuracy is low
  - Check loss function: Is it appropriate for the task you want to solve? Does it have degenerate solutions?
- NN is underperforming / under-fitting
  - Compute number of parameters $\rightarrow$ if too small, make network larger
- NN is too slow
  - Compute number of parameters $\rightarrow$ Use distributed framework, use GPU, make network smaller

Many of these points apply to many machine learning models, no just neural networks.
Let’s study another variant of CNN for language

Example: sentence classification (say spam or not spam)

First step: represent each word with a vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$

```
This
```
```
is
```
```
not
```
```
a
```
```
spam
```

Concatenate the vectors

```
0000000 0000000 0000000 0000000 0000000 0000000
```

Now we can assume that the input to the system is a vector $\mathbb{R}^{dl}$

- Where the input sentence has length $l$ ($l = 5$ in our example)
- Each word vector’s length $d$ ($d = 7$ in our example)
Think about a single convolutional layer

- A bunch of vector filters
  - Each defined in \( \mathbb{R}^{dh} \)
    - Where \( h \) is the number of the words the filter covers
    - Size of the word vector \( d \)
  - Find its (modified) convolution with the input vector

\[
c_1 = f(w \cdot x_{12h}) = f(w \cdot x_h + c_{B2h}) f(w \cdot x_{2h+1} + f(w \cdot x_{3h+1:4h})
\]

- Result of the convolution with the filter
  \[
c = [c_1, \ldots, c_{n-h+1}]
\]

- Convolution with a filter that spans 2 words, is operating on all of the bigrams (vectors of two consecutive word, concatenated): “this is”, “is not”, “not a”, “a spam”.

- Regardless of whether it is grammatical (not appealing linguistically)
Convolutional Layer on vectors

Get word vectors for each word

Concatenate vectors

Perform convolution with each filter

How are we going to handle the **variable sized** response vectors? **Pooling!**

This is not a spam

Filter bank

Set of response vectors

Get word vectors for each word

Concatenate vectors

Perform convolution with each filter

How are we going to handle the **variable sized** response vectors? **Pooling!**

This is not a spam

Filter bank

Set of response vectors

How are we going to handle the **variable sized** response vectors? **Pooling!**

This is not a spam
Now we can pass the fixed-sized vector to a logistic unit (softmax), or give it to multi-layer network (last session).
Recurrent Neural Networks

- Multi-layer feed-forward NN: **DAG**
  - Just computes a fixed sequence of non-linear learned transformations to convert an input pattern into an output pattern

- Recurrent Neural Network: **Digraph**
  - Has cycles.
  - Cycle can act as a memory;
  - The hidden state of a recurrent net can carry along information about a “potentially” unbounded number of previous inputs.
  - They can model sequential data in a much more natural way.
Equivalence between RNN and Feed-forward NN

- Assume that there is a time delay of 1 in using each connection.
- The recurrent net is just a layered net that keeps reusing the same weights.
Recurrent Neural Networks

- Training a general RNN’s can be hard
  - Here we will focus on a **special family of RNN’s**

- Prediction on chain-like input:
  - Example: POS tagging words of a sentence
    \[
    X = \text{This is a sample sentence .} \\
    Y = \text{DT VBZ DT NN NN .}
    \]
  - Issues:
    - Structure in the output: There is connections between labels
    - Interdependence between elements of the inputs: The final decision is based on an intricate interdependence of the words on each other.
    - Variable size inputs: e.g. sentences differ in size

- How would you go about solving this task?
Recurrent Neural Networks

- A chain RNN:
  - Has a chain-like structure
  - Each input is replaced with its vector representation $x_t$
  - Hidden (memory) unit $h_t$ contain information about previous inputs and previous hidden units $h_{t-1}, h_{t-2}, \text{etc}$
    - Computed from the past memory and current word. It summarizes the sentence up to that time.
Recurrent Neural Networks

A popular way of formalizing it:

$$h_t = f(W_h h_{t-1} + W_i x_t)$$

Where $f$ is a nonlinear, differentiable (why?) function.

Outputs?

- Many options; depending on problem and computational resource
Recurrent Neural Networks

- Prediction for $x_t$, with $h_t$
- Prediction for $x_t$, with $h_t, ..., h_{t-\tau}$
- Prediction for the whole chain

$$y_t = \text{softmax}(W_o h_t)$$
$$y_t = \text{softmax} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\tau} a^i W_o^{-i} h_{t-i} \right)$$
$$y_T = \text{softmax}(W_o h_T)$$

Some inherent issues with RNNs:
- Recurrent neural nets cannot capture phrases without prefix context
- They often capture too much of last words in final vector
One of the issues with RNN:
- Hidden variables capture only one side context

A bi-directional structure

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{h}_t &= f(\bar{W}_h \bar{h}_{t+1} + \bar{W}_i x_t) \\
h_t &= f(W_h h_{t-1} + W_i x_t) \\
y_t &= \text{softmax}(W_o h_t + \bar{W}_o \bar{h}_t)
\end{align*}
\]
Stack of bi-directional networks

- Use the same idea and make your model further complicated:
Training RNNs

- How to train such model?
  - Generalize the same ideas from back-propagation

- Total output error: \( E(\hat{y}, \hat{t}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} E_t(y_t, t_t) \)

\[
\frac{\partial E}{\partial W} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial W} \\
\frac{\partial E_t}{\partial W} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial y_t} \frac{\partial y_t}{\partial h_t} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_{t-k}} \frac{\partial h_{t-k}}{\partial W}
\]

Reminder:
\[
y_t = \text{softmax}(W_oh_t) \\
h_t = f(W_hh_{t-1} + W_ix_t)
\]

Parameters? \( W_o, W_i, W_h \) + vectors for input

This sometimes is called “Backpropagation Through Time”, since the gradients are propagated back through time.
Recurrence Neural Network

\[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial W} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial E_t}{\partial y_t} \frac{\partial y_t}{\partial h_t} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_{t-k}} \frac{\partial h_{t-k}}{\partial W} \]

\[ \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_{t-1}} = W_h \text{diag}[f'(W_h h_{t-1} + W_i x_t)] \]

\[ \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_{t-k}} = \prod_{j=t-k+1}^{t} \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial h_{j-1}} = \prod_{j=t-k+1}^{t} W_h \text{diag}[f'(W_h h_{t-1} + W_i x_t)] \]

Reminder:
\[ y_t = \text{softmax}(W_o h_t) \]
\[ h_t = f(W_h h_{t-1} + W_i x_t) \]

\[ \text{diag}[a_1, \ldots, a_n] = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_n \end{bmatrix} \]
Vanishing/exploding gradients

Vanishing gradients are quite prevalent and a serious issue.

A real example
- Training a feed-forward network
- $y$-axis: sum of the gradient norms
- Earlier layers have exponentially smaller sum of gradient norms
- This will make training earlier layers much slower.

Gradient can become very small or very large quickly, and the locality assumption of gradient descent breaks down (Vanishing gradient) [Bengio et al 1994]

\[
\frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_{t-k}} = \prod_{j=t-k+1}^{t} W_h \text{diag}[f'(W_h h_{t-1} + W_i x_t)]
\]

\[
\frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_k} \leq \prod_{j=t-k+1}^{t} \|W_h\| \|\text{diag}[f'(W_h h_{t-1} + W_i x_t)]\| \leq \prod_{j=t-k+1}^{t} \alpha \beta = (\alpha \beta)^k
\]
Vanishing/exploding gradients

- In an RNN trained on long sequences (e.g. 100 time steps) the gradients can easily explode or vanish.
  - So RNNs have difficulty dealing with long-range dependencies.

- Many methods proposed for reduce the effect of vanishing gradients; although it is still a problem
  - Introduce shorter path between long connections
  - Abandon stochastic gradient descent in favor of a much more sophisticated Hessian-Free (HF) optimization
  - Add fancier modules that are robust to handling long memory; e.g. Long Short Term Memory (LSTM)

- One trick to handle the exploding-gradients:
  - Clip gradients with bigger sizes:

\[
\text{Define } g = \frac{\partial E}{\partial W}
\]

If \( \|g\| \geq \text{threshold} \) then

\[
g \leftarrow \frac{\text{threshold}}{\|g\|} g
\]